

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/986,680	<u> </u>	11/09/2001	Yoon San Park	0630-1356P	5799		
2292	7590	07/27/2004		EXAMINER			
BIRCH ST	EWAR7	ΓKOLASCH & BIF	SANTIAGO, MARICELI				
PO BOX 74	•	VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
TABLES CIT	Orcorr,	220.00		2879			
				DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/986,680	PARK, YOON SAN	
, and the state of	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mariceli Santiago	2879	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	SS
THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE TOWN THE PLACE TO STATE TOWN THE PLACE TOWN	avoid abandonment of this application and the same application and the same application are same applications.	ation. A proper reply t h places the application	to a on in
PERIOD FOR R	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. So	ee MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitmely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The approportion or the final Of	oriate extension ffice action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed within the pe FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal c	eriod set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to		, ,	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	``	,	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simp	olifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed ar	nendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S	or reconsideration has been consi ee Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NOT i	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were r	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	nt(s) a) will not be entered or by would be rejected is provided belo) will be entered and wow or appended.	d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	oroved or b) ☐ disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			
10. ☐ Other:	(3)(

Hortilia

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In regards to claims 8-10, particularly the recitations in claim 8 of "the curvature at the portions for supporting is increased at peripheral portions thereof" and "increasing a friction force of a damper wire at the periphery of the shadow mask", the amendments to the claims introduce new limitations that were not previously presented and which require flurther consideration and search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In regards to the rejection of claims 1 and 3-7, Applicant argues that the radius R disclosed by Takayanagi has nothing to do with a radius of curvature of the supporting surfaces of the main frames as claimed, Applicant refers to Fig. 3A of Takayanagi as showing a non-constant radius of curvature. The Examiner respectfully disagrees, Takayanagi discloses in the Background of the Invention, see Columns 2 and 3, that the "surfaces 45a and 46a of upper and lower frames 45 and 46 of aperture grill supporting frame 42, through which the aperture grill 41 is welded to the supporting frame, have been so processed as to give a part of a columnar wall surface with a radius of R", furthermore, "because these welded surfaces 45a and 46a with a form correspondent with a part of a columnar wall surface with a radius of R as described earlier are assembled as initially designed, the welded surfaces 45a and 46a being subject to elastic deformations do not actually give that designed form". Accordingly, it is the Examiner's position that the radius R disclosed by Takayanagi is related to the radius of curvature, i.e., the surface's radius of the main frames, this being of a constant uniform value prior to the assembly of the shadow mask.

Mrs 7/26/04

Joseph Williams Joseph Williams